Ark. Mat., **00** (2008), 1–21 DOI: © 2008 by Institut Mittag-Leffler. All rights reserved

# Deterministic and probabilistic discrepancies

W.W.L. Chen and G. Travaglini

Abstract. In this paper, we compare some deterministic and probabilistic techniques in the study of upper bounds in problems related to certain mean squares discrepancy with respect to balls in the d-dimensional unit torus, and show that the quality of these techniques depends in an intricate way to the dimension d under consideration.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{T}^d = [-1/2, 1/2]^d$  denote the *d*-dimensional unit torus, where  $d \ge 1$  is fixed. Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a distribution of  $N = M^d$  points in  $\mathbf{T}^d$ , where M is a positive integer. For every positive real number r < 1/2, let  $B_r$  denote the ball centred at  $0 \in \mathbf{T}^d$  and with radius r, with characteristic function  $\chi_{B_r}$ . We are interested in the discrepancy

(1) 
$$D(N) = \left( \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} \left| N|B_r| - \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \chi_{B_r - t}(p) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/2}$$

of the finite set  $\mathcal{P}$  with respect to the family of all translates  $B_r - t$  of the ball  $B_r$ in  $\mathbf{T}^d$ .

In particular, we are interested in the above problem when the points in  $\mathcal{P}$  are obtained by modifications of the standard lattice. More precisely, for every positive integer M, the standard lattice is the set

(2) 
$$L_M = \left\{ \left( \frac{r_1}{M}, ..., \frac{r_d}{M} \right) : r_1, ..., r_d \in \{0, 1, ..., M-1\} \right\}.$$

We shall denote a typical point in  $L_M$  by p.

Let  $d\mu$  denote a probability measure on  $\mathbf{T}^d$ . For every  $p \in L_M$ , let  $d\mu_p$  denote the translation of  $d\mu$  by  $p \in L_M$ , so that for any integrable function f in  $\mathbf{T}^d$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}^d} f(t) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_p = \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} f(t-p) \,\mathrm{d}\mu.$$

We now average the discrepancy D(N) in  $L^2(\mathbf{T}^d, \mathrm{d}\mu_p)$  for every  $p \in L_M$ , and consider

(3) 
$$D_{\mathrm{d}\mu}^2(N) = \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} \dots \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} \left| N|B_r| - \sum_{p \in L_M} \chi_{B_r - t}(u_p) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t \prod_{s \in L_M} \mathrm{d}\mu_s$$

where, for every point  $p \in L_M$ , the probabilistic variable  $u_p$  is associated with the probabilistic measure  $d\mu_p$ . Note that the cardinality of  $L_M$  is N.

In Section 2, we shall show that a simple orthogonality argument leads to the explicit formula

(4) 
$$D_{\mathrm{d}\mu}^{2}(N) = N \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{\chi}_{B_{r}}(k)|^{2} (1 - |\widehat{\mu}(k)|^{2}) + N^{2} \sum_{0 \neq h \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{\chi}_{B_{r}}(Mh)|^{2} |\widehat{\mu}(Mh)|^{2},$$

in terms of the Fourier transforms of the characteristic function  $\chi_{B_r}$  and the measure  $d\mu$ .

Let us first consider an extreme case where we take  $d\mu = dt$ , the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{T}^d$ . Here one can hardly speak about modification of the standard lattice, as every point  $u_j$  is chosen totally at random in  $\mathbf{T}^d$  and we end up considering a Monte Carlo estimate of the discrepancy D(N). Since  $\hat{\mu}(0)=1$  and  $\hat{\mu}(k)=0$  for every non-zero  $k \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ , the identity (4) becomes

$$D_{\mathrm{d}t}^{2}(N) = N \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{\chi}_{B_{r}}(k)|^{2} = N \left( \|\chi_{B_{r}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{T}^{d},\mathrm{d}t)}^{2} - |B_{r}|^{2} \right) = N(|B_{r}| - |B_{r}|^{2}).$$

Note that this is independent of the dimension d and that the ball  $B_r$  can be replaced by any measurable subset of  $\mathbf{T}^d$  with diameter less than 1. We shall not consider this case further.

In general, we are governed by the following lower bound result of Beck [1] and Montgomery [13]. See also Brandolini, Colzani and Travaglini [5].

**Theorem 1.1.** There exists a positive constant  $c_d$ , depending only on d, such that for every finite set Q of N points in  $\mathbf{T}^d$ , we have

(5) 
$$\int_{0}^{1/2} \int_{\mathbf{T}^{d}} \left| N|B_{r}| - \sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \chi_{B_{r}-t}(q) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}r \ge c_{d} N^{1-1/d}.$$

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

It is known that Theorem 1.1 is essentially best possible; see, for example, Beck and Chen [3], Chen [7] or Travaglini [16]. The purpose of this paper is to compare some of these approaches.

We shall consider the case when  $d\mu = \delta_0$ , the Dirac measure concentrated at the origin. In this case, the Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu}$  is identically equal to 1, so that the identity (4) becomes

(6) 
$$D_{\delta_0}^2(N) = N^2 \sum_{0 \neq h \in \mathbf{Z}^d} |\widehat{\chi}_{B_r}(Mh)|^2.$$

We shall also consider the case when  $d\mu = d\lambda = \lambda(t) dt$ , where

$$\lambda(t) = N\chi_{[-1/2M, 1/2M]^d}(t)$$

denotes the characteristic function of the small cube  $[-1/2M, 1/2M]^d$ , suitably normalized. It is well known that for every  $k=(k_1, ..., k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , the Fourier transform

$$\hat{\lambda}(k) = N \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\sin(\pi k_i/M)}{\pi k_i},$$

with obvious modification when  $k_i=0$  for some i=1,...,d. Since  $\hat{\lambda}(Mh)=0$  for every non-zero  $h \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , the identity (4) becomes

(7) 
$$D_{d\lambda}^{2}(N) = N \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{\chi}_{B_{r}}(k)|^{2} (1 - |\widehat{\lambda}(k)|^{2})$$
$$= N \left( \|\chi_{B_{r}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{T}^{d}, \mathrm{d}t)}^{2} - |B_{r}|^{2} \right) - N \left( \|\chi_{B_{r}} * \lambda\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{T}^{d}, \mathrm{d}t)}^{2} - |B_{r}|^{2} \right)$$
$$= N \left( \|\chi_{B_{r}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{T}^{d}, \mathrm{d}t)}^{2} - \|\chi_{B_{r}} * \lambda\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{T}^{d}, \mathrm{d}t)}^{2} \right)$$
$$= N \left( |B_{r}| - \|\chi_{B_{r}} * \lambda\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{T}^{d}, \mathrm{d}t)}^{2} \right).$$

We shall compare the deterministic discrepancy  $D_{\delta_0}(N)$  and the probabilistic discrepancy  $D_{d\lambda}(N)$ .

The deterministic and probabilistic discrepancies are related to systematic and stratified (or jittered) samplings in statistics in a rather natural way; see, for example, Bellhouse [4] or Kollig and Keller [10].

The Fourier transform  $\widehat{\chi}_{B_r}$  of the characteristic function of the ball  $B_r$  is described in terms of the Bessel functions. For every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we have

(8) 
$$\widehat{\chi}_{B_r}(k) = \begin{cases} |B_r| = \pi^{d/2} r^d / \Gamma(1 + d/2) & \text{if } k = 0, \\ r^{d/2} |k|^{-d/2} J_{d/2}(2\pi r |k|) & \text{if } k \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $J_{d/2}$  is the Bessel function of order d/2. The properties of the Bessel functions lead to quite different conclusions to our investigation, depending on the value of the dimension d. Accordingly, we need to split our discussion into two separate cases.

Our first result covers what may be termed the usual case.

#### **Theorem 1.2.** Suppose that $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

(i) For all sufficiently large d, the inequality  $D_{d\lambda}(M^d) < D_{\delta_0}(M^d)$  holds for all sufficiently large values of M.

(ii) For d=2 and r=1/4, the inequality  $D_{\delta_0}(M^2) < D_{d\lambda}(M^2)$  holds for all sufficiently large values of M.

Our next result covers what may be termed the exceptional case.

**Theorem 1.3.** Suppose that  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

(i) For all sufficiently large d, the inequality  $D_{d\lambda}(M^d) < D_{\delta_0}(M^d)$  holds for infinitely many values of M.

(ii) For every d, the inequality  $D_{\delta_0}(M^d) < D_{d\lambda}(M^d)$  holds for infinitely many values of M.

(iii) For d=1, the inequality  $D_{\delta_0}(M) < D_{d\lambda}(M)$  holds for every value of M.

The peculiarity of the case  $d\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  has arisen in earlier work. See, for example, Konyagin, Skriganov and Sobolev [11], where the peculiar distribution of lattice points with respect to balls in these dimensions is discussed. We shall see later in Section 6 that a closer analysis of the Bessel functions that arise in (8) reveals that simultaneous diophantine approximation plays a key role in the study of this special case.

*Remark.* For a general introduction to discrepancy theory, the reader is referred to the books by Beck and Chen [2], Drmota and Tichy [8] and Matoušek [12]. The reader is also referred to the book by Chazelle [6] where many applications to randomness and complexity are discussed.

Notation. Throughout this paper, we write  $f=O_{\nu}(g)$  to indicate the existence of an implicit positive constant  $A_{\nu}$ , depending at most on  $\nu$ , such that  $|f| \leq A_{\nu}g$ . This implicit constant may change from one occurrence to the next. On the other hand, we write  $|f| \leq C_{\nu}g$  to indicate that the explicit constant  $C_{\nu}$  does not change from one occurrence to the next.

Acknowledgment. Much of this work was carried out while the first author was a visitor to the Università di Milano-Bicocca in 2004. In the late 1990's, the first author benefitted from discussion of the problem, in particular the 2-dimensional case, with József Beck and some of his colleagues at Rutgers University, following a suggestion from some that an average version of Theorem 1.2(ii) might be true.

# 2. The Explicit Formula

In this short section, we apply an orthogonality argument to deduce the explicit formula (4). Applying Parseval's identity to (3), we obtain

$$(9) \qquad D_{d\mu}^{2}(N) = \int_{\mathbf{T}^{d}} \dots \int_{\mathbf{T}^{d}} \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{\chi}_{B_{r}}(k)|^{2} \left| \sum_{p \in L_{M}} e^{2\pi i k \cdot u_{p}} \right|^{2} \prod_{s \in L_{M}} d\mu_{s}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{\chi}_{B_{r}}(k)|^{2} \int_{\mathbf{T}^{d}} \dots \int_{\mathbf{T}^{d}} \sum_{p,q \in L_{M}} e^{2\pi i k \cdot u_{p}} e^{-2\pi i k \cdot u_{q}} \prod_{s \in L_{M}} d\mu_{s}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{\chi}_{B_{r}}(k)|^{2} \sum_{p,q \in L_{M}} \int_{\mathbf{T}^{d}} \dots \int_{\mathbf{T}^{d}} e^{2\pi i k \cdot u_{p}} e^{-2\pi i k \cdot u_{q}} \prod_{s \in L_{M}} d\mu_{s}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \neq k \in \mathbf{Z}^{d}} |\widehat{\chi}_{B_{r}}(k)|^{2} \left( N + \sum_{\substack{p,q \in L_{M} \\ p \neq q}} \int_{\mathbf{T}^{d}} \int_{\mathbf{T}^{d}} e^{2\pi i k \cdot u_{p}} e^{-2\pi i k \cdot u_{q}} d\mu_{p} d\mu_{q} \right).$$

For  $p \neq q$ , we clearly have

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}^d} \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} e^{2\pi i k \cdot u_p} e^{-2\pi i k \cdot u_q} d\mu_p d\mu_q = \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} e^{2\pi i k \cdot (u_p - p)} e^{-2\pi i k \cdot (u_q - q)} d\mu d\mu$$
$$= e^{-2\pi i k \cdot p} e^{2\pi i k \cdot q} \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} e^{2\pi i k \cdot u_p} d\mu \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} e^{-2\pi i k \cdot u_q} d\mu = |\hat{\mu}(k)|^2 e^{-2\pi i k \cdot p} e^{2\pi i k \cdot q},$$

and so

(10) 
$$\sum_{\substack{p,q \in L_M \\ p \neq q}} \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} e^{2\pi i k \cdot u_p} e^{-2\pi i k \cdot u_q} d\mu_p d\mu_q = |\hat{\mu}(k)|^2 \sum_{\substack{p,q \in L_M \\ p \neq q}} e^{-2\pi i k \cdot p} e^{2\pi i k \cdot q}$$
$$= |\hat{\mu}(k)|^2 \left( \sum_{p,q \in L_M} e^{-2\pi i k \cdot p} e^{2\pi i k \cdot q} - N \right) = |\hat{\mu}(k)|^2 \left( \left| \sum_{p \in L_M} e^{2\pi i k \cdot p} \right|^2 - N \right).$$

The formula (4) follows immediately on combining (9), (10) and the orthogonality relationship

$$\sum_{p \in L_M} e^{2\pi i k \cdot p} = \begin{cases} N & \text{if } k \in M \mathbf{Z}^d, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# 3. Upper Bound for Probabilistic Discrepancy

In this section, we establish the following simple result which we need for both Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 3.1. For every sufficiently large positive integer M, we have

$$D_{d\lambda}^2(M^d) \le \frac{\pi^{d/2} d^{3/2} r^{d-1} M^{d-1}}{2\Gamma(1+d/2)}.$$

*Proof.* Note that from (7), we have

$$D_{\mathrm{d}\lambda}^2(M^d) = M^d \left( |B_r| - \|\chi_{B_r} * \lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbf{T}^d, \mathrm{d}t)}^2 \right),$$

and it is easy to see that

(11) 
$$(\chi_{B_r} * \lambda)(t) = M^d \left| B_r \cap \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{2M}, \frac{1}{2M} \right]^d + t \right) \right| \ge 0.$$

Note in particular that  $(\chi_{B_r} * \lambda)(t) = 1$  if  $|t| < r - \sqrt{d}/2M$ . Suppose now that the integer M is sufficiently large. Ignoring the non-negative contribution to the term  $\|\chi_{B_r} * \lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbf{T}^d, \mathrm{d}t)}^2$  from those values of  $t \in \mathbf{T}^d$  satisfying  $|t| \ge r - \sqrt{d}/2M$ , we see that

$$\begin{split} D_{d\lambda}^2(M^d) &\leq M^d |B_r| - M^d \int_{|t| < r - \sqrt{d}/2M} \mathrm{d}t = M^d \left( |B_r| - |B_{r - \sqrt{d}/2M}| \right) \\ &= \frac{M^d \pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(1 + d/2)} \left( r^d - \left( r - \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2M} \right)^d \right) \leq \frac{M^d \pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(1 + d/2)} dr^{d-1} \left( r - \left( r - \frac{\sqrt{d}}{2M} \right) \right) \end{split}$$

from which the result follows easily.  $\Box$ 

# 4. The Usual Case

For all sufficiently large values of d, the inequality

$$\frac{\pi^{d/2} d^{3/2}}{2\Gamma(1+d/2)} < \frac{\pi^{-2} 2^{-d} d}{1000}$$

clearly holds. Part (i) of Theorem 1.2 follows at once from Lemma 3.1 and the result below.

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose that  $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then for every sufficiently large positive integer M, we have

$$D^2_{\delta_0}(M^d) \ge \frac{\pi^{-2} 2^{-d} dr^{d-1} M^{d-1}}{1000}.$$

*Proof.* Combining (6) and (8), we have

(12) 
$$D^2_{\delta_0}(M^d) = M^d \sum_{0 \neq h \in \mathbf{Z}^d} r^d |h|^{-d} J^2_{d/2}(2\pi r M |h|).$$

Recall that the Bessel functions have asymptotic expansion

(13) 
$$J_{\nu}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \cos\left(x - \frac{\nu \pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O_{\nu}(x^{-3/2}) \quad \text{for } \nu > -\frac{1}{2}.$$

See, for example, Stein and Weiss [15], Chapter IV, Lemma 3.11. It follows that for  $h \neq 0$  and sufficiently large M, we have

(14) 
$$J_{d/2}(2\pi r M|h|) = \frac{1}{\pi r^{1/2} M^{1/2} |h|^{1/2}} \left( \cos\left(2\pi r M|h| - \frac{(d+1)\pi}{4}\right) + O_d(r^{-1} M^{-1} |h|^{-1}) \right).$$

For every real number  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ , let  $\|\alpha\| = \min_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} |\alpha - n|$  denote the distance of  $\alpha$  to the nearest integer. We have two cases.

Case 1: Suppose that the integer M is sufficiently large and satisfies

$$\left\| 2rM - \frac{d+1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right\| \ge \frac{1}{10}, \text{ so that } \left| \cos\left(2\pi rM - \frac{(d+1)\pi}{4}\right) \right| \ge \frac{1}{5}.$$

Then it follows from (12) and (14) that

$$\begin{split} D^2_{\delta_0}(M^d) &\geq M^d \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathbf{Z}^d \\ |h| = 1}} r^d |h|^{-d} J^2_{d/2}(2\pi r M |h|) \\ &= 2dM^d r^d J^2_{d/2}(2\pi r M) > \frac{\pi^{-2} dr^{d-1} M^{d-1}}{1000}, \end{split}$$

clearly stronger than the required conclusion.

Case 2: Suppose that the integer M is sufficiently large and satisfies

(15) 
$$\left\|2rM - \frac{d+1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right\| < \frac{1}{10}.$$

Since  $d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , it can be shown that

(16) 
$$\left\| 4rM - \frac{d+1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \right\| > \frac{1}{20},$$

so that

$$\left|\cos\left(4\pi rM - \frac{(d+1)\pi}{4}\right)\right| > \frac{1}{10}.$$

Then it follows from (12) and (14) that

$$\begin{split} D^2_{\delta_0}(M^d) &\geq M^d \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathbf{Z}^d \\ |h| = 2}} r^d |h|^{-d} J^2_{d/2}(2\pi r M |h|) \\ &= 2dM^d r^d 2^{-d} J^2_{d/2}(4\pi r M) > \frac{\pi^{-2} 2^{-d} dr^{d-1} M^{d-1}}{1000}, \end{split}$$

again giving the required conclusion.  $\Box$ 

We complete this section by making some comments. The derivation of (16) from (15) is a consequence of the simple observation that for any fixed rational number  $b=\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}$ , corresponding respectively to  $d\equiv 2, 3, 4 \pmod{4}$ ,

(17) 
$$||x-b|| < \frac{1}{10}$$
 implies  $||2x-b|| > \frac{1}{20}$ 

However, the implication (17) does not remain valid if b=0, corresponding to  $d\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . This gives rise to the exceptional case.

#### 5. The Two Dimensional Case

Calculation shows that in the 2-dimensional case, the probabilistic discrepancy  $D_{d\lambda}(M^2)$  and deterministic discrepancy  $D_{\delta_0}(M^2)$  are very close in value. We therefore need rather precise estimates. We shall only consider the case r=1/4; for simplicity, we write B to denote the disc  $B_{1/4}$ . Then it follows from (7) that

(18) 
$$D_{d\lambda}^{2}(M^{2}) = M^{2} \left( |B_{r}| - \|\chi_{B_{r}} * \lambda\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{T}^{2}, \mathrm{d}t)}^{2} \right)$$
$$= M^{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{16} - \int_{\mathbf{T}^{2}} \left( \int_{B} \lambda(t-v) \,\mathrm{d}v \right)^{2} \,\mathrm{d}t \right),$$

where

(19) 
$$\int_{B} \lambda(t-v) \,\mathrm{d}v = M^2 \left| B \cap \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{2M}, \frac{1}{2M} \right]^2 + t \right) \right|.$$

Clearly we have

(20) 
$$0 \le \int_B \lambda(t-v) \, \mathrm{d}v \le 1 \quad \text{always.}$$

Let  $t = \rho \Theta = \rho(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ . We shall make use of symmetry and assume for simplicity that  $0 < \theta \le \pi/4$ .

Our first step is to show that if the point t is far enough from the boundary of the disc B, then the square

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2M},\frac{1}{2M}\right]^2 + t$$

lies either completely inside B or completely outside B. Indeed, simple geometric considerations give the precise information that

(21) 
$$\int_{B} \lambda(t-v) \, \mathrm{d}v = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \rho \leq \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\cos \theta + \sin \theta}{M}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \rho \geq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\cos \theta + \sin \theta}{M}. \end{cases}$$

Next, for those points t that are close to the boundary of B, we then need to obtain a good approximation of the quantity (19). We shall see in a moment that, up to  $O(M^{-1})$ , we have the identity

(22) 
$$\int_{B} \lambda(t-v) \, \mathrm{d}v = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \rho \leq \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\cos \theta + \sin \theta}{2M}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \rho \geq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\cos \theta + \sin \theta}{2M}. \end{cases}$$

We need to study the set

$$B \cap \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{2M}, \frac{1}{2M} \right]^2 + t \right)$$

when the translated square intersects the boundary of the disc B. We consider the picture below.





Here the line segment PS is tangent to the boundary of B. Let  $\mathcal{B}_{\Theta}$  denote the half plane containing the origin and having PS as part of its boundary. Then

$$\int_{B} \lambda(t-v) \,\mathrm{d}v = M^2 \left| \mathcal{B}_{\Theta} \cap \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{2M}, \frac{1}{2M} \right]^2 + t \right) \right| + O(M^{-1}),$$

.

where the set

(23) 
$$\mathcal{B}_{\Theta} \cap \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{2M}, \frac{1}{2M} \right]^2 + t \right)$$

is represented in the picture above by the quadrilateral PQRS, although as t varies, this may become a triangle or pentagon. To compute the area of the intersection (23), we consider the picture below.



Note that

$$|tC| = \frac{\cos \theta - \sin \theta}{2M}, \quad |tA| = \frac{\cos \theta + \sin \theta}{2M}, \quad |VBF| = \frac{|AX|^2}{\sin 2\theta}.$$

It follows that with an error of at most  $O(M^{-1})$ , we have (22) and

$$(24) \qquad \int_{B} \lambda(t-v) \,\mathrm{d}v$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\left(M(\rho - \frac{1}{4}) + \frac{1}{2}(\cos\theta + \sin\theta)\right)^{2}}{\sin 2\theta} & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\cos\theta + \sin\theta}{2M} \le \rho < \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2M}, \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{M}{\cos\theta} \left(\rho - \frac{1}{4}\right) & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2M} \le \rho < \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2M}, \\ \frac{\left(M(\frac{1}{4} - \rho) + \frac{1}{2}(\cos\theta + \sin\theta)\right)^{2}}{\sin 2\theta} & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2M} \le \rho < \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\cos\theta + \sin\theta}{2M}. \end{cases}$$

Squaring the expression (19) and integrating with respect to t, we obtain

(25) 
$$\int_{\mathbf{T}^2} \left( \int_B \lambda(t-v) \, \mathrm{d}v \right)^2 \mathrm{d}t = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + E.$$

In (25), we write

(26) 
$$I_1 = 8 \int_0^{\pi/4} \int_0^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\cos \theta + \sin \theta}{2M}} \left( \int_B \lambda(\rho \Theta - v) \, \mathrm{d}v \right)^2 \rho \, \mathrm{d}\rho \, \mathrm{d}\theta$$
$$= 8 \int_0^{\pi/4} \int_0^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\cos \theta + \sin \theta}{2M}} \rho \, \mathrm{d}\rho \, \mathrm{d}\theta = \frac{\pi}{16} - M^{-1} + O(M^{-2}),$$

in view of (22). We also write

(27) 
$$I_5 = 8 \int_0^{\pi/4} \int_{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\cos\theta + \sin\theta}{2M}}^{\infty} \left( \int_B \lambda(\rho\Theta - v) \,\mathrm{d}v \right)^2 \rho \,\mathrm{d}\rho \,\mathrm{d}\theta = O(M^{-2}),$$

in view of (21) and (22). In view of (24), we can write

$$I_{2} = 8 \int_{0}^{\pi/4} \int_{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2M}}^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2M}} \left( 1 - \frac{\left(M(\rho - \frac{1}{4}) + \frac{1}{2}(\cos\theta + \sin\theta)\right)^{2}}{\sin 2\theta} \right)^{2} \rho \, \mathrm{d}\rho \, \mathrm{d}\theta,$$

$$I_{3} = 8 \int_{0}^{\pi/4} \int_{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2M}}^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2M}} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{M}{\cos\theta} \left( \rho - \frac{1}{4} \right) \right)^{2} \rho \, \mathrm{d}\rho \, \mathrm{d}\theta,$$

$$I_{4} = 8 \int_{0}^{\pi/4} \int_{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2M}}^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2M}} \left( \frac{\left(M(\frac{1}{4} - \rho) + \frac{1}{2}(\cos\theta + \sin\theta)\right)^{2}}{\sin 2\theta} \right)^{2} \rho \, \mathrm{d}\rho \, \mathrm{d}\theta.$$

Then in view of (24), we have

(28) 
$$E \ll \int_0^{\pi/4} \int_{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\cos\theta + \sin\theta}{2M}}^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\cos\theta + \sin\theta}{2M}} M^{-1}\rho \,\mathrm{d}\rho \,\mathrm{d}\theta = O(M^{-2}).$$

Using the substitution  $s = M(\rho - \frac{1}{4}) + \frac{1}{2}(\cos \theta + \sin \theta)$ , we have

$$I_2 = 2M^{-1} \int_0^{\pi/4} \int_0^{\sin\theta} \left(1 - \frac{s^2}{\sin 2\theta}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}s \,\mathrm{d}\theta + O(M^{-2})$$

Using the substitution  $s\!=\!M(\rho\!-\!\frac{1}{4})\!-\!\frac{1}{2}(\cos\theta\!+\!\sin\theta)$  and symmetry, we have

$$I_4 = 2M^{-1} \int_0^{\pi/4} \int_{-\sin\theta}^0 \left(\frac{s^2}{\sin 2\theta}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}s \,\mathrm{d}\theta + O(M^{-2}).$$

Combining these two, straightforward calculation gives

(29) 
$$I_2 + I_4 = M^{-1} \left( \frac{8}{5} - \frac{11\sqrt{2}}{30} - \frac{2}{3} \log(1 + \sqrt{2}) \right) + O(M^{-2}).$$

Using the substitution  $s\!=\!M(\rho\!-\!\frac{1}{4})$  and symmetry, straightforward calculation gives

(30) 
$$I_{3} = 2M^{-1} \int_{0}^{\pi/4} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}(\cos\theta - \sin\theta)}^{\frac{1}{2}(\cos\theta - \sin\theta)} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{\cos\theta}\right)^{2} \mathrm{d}s \,\mathrm{d}\theta + O(M^{-2})$$
$$= M^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} - \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{2}\log(1 + \sqrt{2})\right) + O(M^{-2}).$$

Combining (25)–(30), we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}^2} \left( \int_B \lambda(t-v) \, \mathrm{d}v \right)^2 \mathrm{d}t = \frac{\pi}{16} - M^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{15} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{30} + \frac{1}{6} \log(1+\sqrt{2}) \right) + O(M^{-2}),$$

and so it follows from (18) that

(31) 
$$D_{d\lambda}^2(M^2) = \left(\frac{1}{15} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{30} + \frac{1}{6}\log(1+\sqrt{2})\right)M + O(1).$$

We compare this with  $D_{\delta_0}(M^2)$ . Combining (6), (8) and (13), we have

(32) 
$$D_{\delta_0}(M^2) = \frac{M^2}{16} \sum_{0 \neq h \in \mathbf{Z}^2} |h|^{-2} J_1^2 \left(\frac{\pi M |h|}{2}\right)$$
$$= \frac{M}{4\pi^2} \sum_{0 \neq h \in \mathbf{Z}^2} |h|^{-3} \cos^2 \left(\frac{\pi M |h|}{2} - \frac{3\pi}{4}\right) + O(1)$$
$$= S_1 + S_2 + O(1),$$

where

(33) 
$$S_1 = \frac{M}{\pi^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^3} \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi Mm}{2} - \frac{3\pi}{4}\right) = \frac{M}{2\pi^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^3},$$

and

(34) 
$$S_{2} = \frac{M}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m^{2} + n^{2})^{3/2}} \cos^{2} \left( \frac{\pi M (m^{2} + n^{2})^{1/2}}{2} - \frac{3\pi}{4} \right)$$
$$\leq \frac{M}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m^{2} + n^{2})^{3/2}}.$$

Combining (32)–(34), we have

(35) 
$$D_{\delta_0}(M^2) \le \left(\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^3} + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m^2 + n^2)^{3/2}}\right) M + O(1).$$

Part (ii) of Theorem 1.2 now follows from (31) and (35), on noting that

$$\frac{1}{15} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{30} + \frac{1}{6}\log(1 + \sqrt{2}) > 0.26,$$

and that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^3} + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m^2 + n^2)^{3/2}} < 0.26.$$

#### 6. The Exceptional Case

For all sufficiently large values of d, the inequality

$$\frac{\pi^{d/2} d^{3/2}}{2\Gamma(1+d/2)} < \frac{\pi^{-2} d}{10}$$

clearly holds. Part (i) of Theorem 1.3 follows at once from Lemma 3.1 and the result below.

**Lemma 6.1.** Suppose that  $d\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Then for infinitely many positive integers M, we have

(36) 
$$D_{\delta_0}^2(M^d) \ge \frac{\pi^{-2} dr^{d-1} M^{d-1}}{10}.$$

*Proof.* Clearly it follows from (12) that

$$D^2_{\delta_0}(M^d) \ge M^d \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathbf{Z}^d \\ |h|=1}} r^d |h|^{-d} J^2_{d/2}(2\pi r M |h|) = 2dM^d r^d J^2_{d/2}(2\pi r M).$$

Using the asymptotic expansion (13) for the Bessel function, we obtain

(37) 
$$D_{\delta_0}^2(M^d) \ge 2d\pi^{-2}r^{d-1}M^{d-1}\cos^2\left(2\pi rM - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) + O(M^{d-2}).$$

Since 0 < 2r < 1, the inequality  $||2rM|| \ge \frac{1}{4}$  is satisfied by infinitely many positive integers M. For these integers M, we clearly have

$$\cos^2\left(2\pi rM - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$

The inequality (36) now follows from (37) if M is sufficiently large.  $\Box$ 

We next turn our attention to part (ii) of Theorem 1.3.

**Lemma 6.2.** There exists a positive constant  $c_{d,r}$ , depending at most on the dimension d and the radius r, such that for every positive integer M, we have

$$(38) D^2_{d\lambda}(M^d) \ge c_{d,r} M^{d-1}$$

*Proof.* We remark that we cannot deduce this result from Theorem 1.1, since the left hand side of (5) involves an average over the radius r of the balls  $B_r$ . Instead, we start from the simple observation that

$$\|\chi_{B_r} * \lambda\|_{L^1(\mathbf{T}^d, \mathrm{d}t)} \le \|\lambda\|_{L^1(\mathbf{T}^d, \mathrm{d}t)} \|\chi_{B_r}\|_{L^1(\mathbf{T}^d, \mathrm{d}t)} = |B_r|.$$

Then it follows from (7) that

$$(39) \qquad D_{d\lambda}^{2}(M^{d}) = M^{d} \left( |B_{r}| - \|\chi_{B_{r}} * \lambda\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{T}^{d}, \mathrm{d}t)}^{2} \right) \\ = M^{d} \left( |B_{r}| - \|\chi_{B_{r}} * \lambda\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{T}^{d}, \mathrm{d}t)} \right) \\ + M^{d} \left( \|\chi_{B_{r}} * \lambda\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{T}^{d}, \mathrm{d}t)} - \|\chi_{B_{r}} * \lambda\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{T}^{d}, \mathrm{d}t)}^{2} \right) \\ \ge M^{d} \left( \|\chi_{B_{r}} * \lambda\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{T}^{d}, \mathrm{d}t)} - \|\chi_{B_{r}} * \lambda\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{T}^{d}, \mathrm{d}t)}^{2} \right) \\ = M^{d} \int_{\mathbf{T}^{d}} \left( (\chi_{B_{r}} * \lambda)(t) - (\chi_{B_{r}} * \lambda)^{2}(t) \right) dt \\ = M^{d} \int_{\mathbf{T}^{d}} (\chi_{B_{r}} * \lambda)(t) \left( 1 - (\chi_{B_{r}} * \lambda)(t) \right) dt.$$

Note that  $0 \leq (\chi_{B_r} * \lambda)(t) \leq 1$  for every  $t \in \mathbf{T}^d$ , so that

(40) 
$$(\chi_{B_r} * \lambda)(t) (1 - (\chi_{B_r} * \lambda)(t)) \ge 0 \quad \text{always.}$$

Recall next the relationship (11). We now use an elaboration of an idea first used in the two dimensional case in Section 5. Write  $t=\rho\sigma$  in polar coordinates, where  $\rho\geq 0$  and  $\sigma\in\Sigma_{d-1}$ . For every positive real number r<1/2 and every  $\sigma\in\Sigma_{d-1}$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_{r,\sigma}$  denote the half space containing the origin and such that its boundary is perpendicular to  $\sigma$  and tangent to the surface of the ball  $B_r$ . Then it follows from (11) that

(41) 
$$(\chi_{B_r} * \lambda)(t) = M^d \left| \mathcal{B}_{r,\sigma} \cap \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{2M}, \frac{1}{2M} \right]^d + t \right) \right| + O_{d,r}(M^{-1}),$$

where the implicit constant in the error term can be chosen independent of t. On the other hand, in view of (11) and the symmetry of the cube

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2M},\frac{1}{2M}\right]^d$$

about its centre, we have  $(\chi_{B_r} * \lambda)(r\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} + O_{d,r}(M^{-1})$ . Noting that the function  $\rho \to (\chi_{B_r} * \lambda)(\rho\sigma)$  is non-increasing, we conclude that

$$(\chi_{B_r} * \lambda)(\rho\sigma) \le \frac{1}{2} + O_{d,r}(M^{-1})$$
 whenever  $r \le \rho \le r + \frac{1}{4M}$ .

Observe that in view of (11), the expression (40) is equal to zero unless the cube

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2M},\frac{1}{2M}\right]^d + t$$

intersects the boundary of the ball  $B_r$ ; in other words, unless  $\rho$  is very close in value to r. Combining (39), (40) and (41), we obtain

$$\begin{split} D^2_{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(M^d) &\geq M^d \int_{r \leq |t| \leq r + \frac{1}{4M}} \left( \chi_{B_r} * \lambda \right)(t) \left( 1 - (\chi_{B_r} * \lambda)(t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} M^d \int_{r \leq |t| \leq r + \frac{1}{4M}} \left( \chi_{B_r} * \lambda \right)(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} M^{2d} \int_{r \leq |t| \leq r + \frac{1}{4M}} \left| \mathcal{B}_{r,\sigma} \cap \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{2M}, \frac{1}{2M} \right]^d + t \right) \right| \, \mathrm{d}t + O_{d,r}(M^{d-2}). \end{split}$$

The inequality (38) now follows on noting that there is a positive constant  $a_d$ , depending only on the dimension d, such that

$$\left| \mathcal{B}_{r,\sigma} \cap \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{2M}, \frac{1}{2M} \right]^d + t \right) \right| \ge a_d M^{-d}$$

for every  $\sigma \in \Sigma_{d-1}$  and whenever  $r \leq \rho \leq r+1/4M$ , provided that the positive integer M is sufficiently large.  $\Box$ 

Let the constant  $c_{d,r}$  be given by Lemma 6.2. By the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{0\neq h\in \mathbf{Z}^d}r^{d-1}|h|^{-d-1},$$

there exists a positive constant  $R_{d,r}$ , depending at most on the dimension d and the radius r, such that

(42) 
$$\sum_{\substack{h \in \mathbf{Z}^d \\ |h| \ge \sqrt{R_{d,r}}}} r^{d-1} |h|^{-d-1} \le \frac{1}{2} c_{d,r}.$$

Then it follows from (14) that

$$\begin{split} M^{d} \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathbf{Z}^{d} \\ |h| \geq \sqrt{R_{d,r}}}} r^{d} |h|^{-d} J_{d/2}^{2} (2\pi r M |h|) \leq M^{d-1} \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathbf{Z}^{d} \\ |h| \geq \sqrt{R_{d,r}}}} r^{d-1} |h|^{-d-1} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} c_{d,r} M^{d-1}. \end{split}$$

In view of (12) and Lemma 6.2, we see that to establish part (ii) of Theorem 1.3, it remains to establish the following result.

**Lemma 6.3.** Suppose that  $d\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Suppose further that the constants  $c_{d,r}$  and  $R_{d,r}$  are given by Lemma 6.2 and (42). Then for infinitely many positive integers M, we have

(43) 
$$\sum_{\substack{0 \neq h \in \mathbf{Z}^d \\ |h| < \sqrt{R_{d,r}}}} r^d |h|^{-d} J^2_{d/2}(2\pi r M |h|) < \frac{1}{2} c_{d,r} M^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , it follows from (13) that

$$J_{d/2}(2\pi rM|h|) = \frac{1}{\pi r^{1/2}M^{1/2}|h|^{1/2}}\sin(2\pi rM|h|) + O_d\left(\frac{1}{r^{3/2}M^{3/2}|h|^{3/2}}\right),$$

so that

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \neq h \in \mathbf{Z}^d \\ |h| < \sqrt{R_{d,r}}}} r^d |h|^{-d} J_{d/2}^2 (2\pi r M |h|)$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{\substack{0 \neq h \in \mathbf{Z}^d \\ |h| < \sqrt{R_{d,r}}}} r^{d-1} |h|^{-d-1} M^{-1} \sin^2 (2\pi r M |h|) + O_{d,r} (M^{-2}).$$

To complete the proof of the lemma, it suffices to show that there are infinitely many positive integers M such that

(44) 
$$\sum_{\substack{0 \neq h \in \mathbf{Z}^d \\ |h| < \sqrt{R_{d,r}}}} r^{d-1} |h|^{-d-1} \sin^2(2\pi r M |h|) < \frac{\pi^2}{4} c_{d,r}.$$

To do this, note first that there exists a positive constant  $C_{d,r}$ , depending at most on the dimension d and the radius r, such that

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \neq h \in \mathbf{Z}^d \\ |h| < \sqrt{R_{d,r}}}} r^{d-1} |h|^{-d-1} \sin^2(2\pi r M |h|) \le C_{d,r} \max_{1 \le j \le R_{d,r}} \|2r M \sqrt{j}\|^2$$

For every choice of r, the numbers 2r and  $2r\sqrt{2}$  cannot both be rational. It follows from Dirichlet's simultaneous approximation theorem (see, for example, Hardy and Wright [9], Chapter XI) that

$$||2rM\sqrt{j}|| < M^{-1/R_{d,r}}$$
 for every  $j=1,...,R_{d,r}$ .

The inequality (44) follows immediately if M is sufficiently large.  $\Box$ 

We remark that Lemma 6.3 can be replaced by using a result of Parnovski and Sobolev [14]. Theorem 3.1 there leads to the inequality

$$D^2_{\delta_0}(M^d) \leq l_{d,\varepsilon,r} M^{d-1} \log^{(-1+\varepsilon)/d}(M)$$

being satisfied by infinitely many positive integers M.

# 7. The One Dimensional Case

In this penultimate section, we study the one dimensional case and establish part (iii) of Theorem 1.3.

First of all, it follows from (7) that

$$D_{d\lambda}^{2}(M) = M\left(2r - \int_{\mathbf{T}} \left(\chi_{[-r,r]} * M\chi_{[-1/2M,1/2M]}\right)^{2}(t) dt\right).$$

Note from (11) that

$$\begin{split} & \left(\chi_{[-r,r]} \ast M \chi_{[-1/2M,1/2M]}\right)(t) = M \left| [-r,r] \cap \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{2M}, \frac{1}{2M} \right] + t \right) \right. \\ & = \begin{cases} M \left( t + r + \frac{1}{2M} \right) & \text{if } -r - \frac{1}{2M} \le t \le -r + \frac{1}{2M}, \\ \\ 1 & \text{if } -r + \frac{1}{2M} \le t \le r - \frac{1}{2M}, \\ \\ -M \left( t - r - \frac{1}{2M} \right) & \text{if } r - \frac{1}{2M} \le t \le r + \frac{1}{2M}. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Simple calculation now gives

$$D_{\mathrm{d}\lambda}^2(M) = \frac{1}{3}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (12) that

$$\begin{split} D^2_{\delta_0}(M) &= M \sum_{0 \neq h \in \mathbf{Z}} r|h|^{-1} J^2_{1/2} (2\pi r M|h|) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2} \sin^2(2\pi r M j) \\ &< \frac{2}{\pi^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2} = \frac{1}{3}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of part (iii) of Theorem 1.3.

# 8. Some Asymptotics

Lemma 3.1 is a particular case of the following result which is asymptotic in nature and which, although not necessary for establishing our main results, may have independent interest. We thank the referee for having suggested this extra line of investigation.

**Proposition 8.1.** For every dimension d and radius r, there exists a positive constant  $C^*_{d,r}$ , depending at most on d and r, such that

$$\frac{D^2_{d\lambda}(M^d)}{M^{d-1}} \longrightarrow C^*_{d,r} \quad as \ M \! \rightarrow \! +\infty.$$

Proof. Our starting point is the identity

(45) 
$$D_{d\lambda}^{2}(M^{d}) = M^{d} \left( |B_{r}| - \|\chi_{B_{r}} * \lambda\|_{L^{2}(\mathbf{T}^{d}, \mathrm{d}t)}^{2} \right),$$

see (7). We recall that the function  $\lambda(t)$  is supported in the small cube

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2M},\frac{1}{2M}\right]^d,$$

so that

(46) 
$$\chi_{B_r}(t) = (\chi_{B_r} * \lambda)(t)$$
 whenever  $t \notin B_{r+\sqrt{d}/M} \setminus B_{r-\sqrt{d}/M}$ 

Let

$$M_0 = \max\left\{ \left[\frac{2\sqrt{d}}{r}\right], \left[\frac{2\sqrt{d}}{1-2r}\right] \right\},\$$

where [z] denotes the integer part of z. Then simple calculation shows that for every integer  $M > M_0$ , we have

$$r - \frac{\sqrt{d}}{M} > \frac{r}{2}$$
 and  $r + \frac{\sqrt{d}}{M} < \min\left\{2r, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$ 

For convenience, we shall write the integration in spherical coordinates in the form

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \mathrm{d}t = \int_{\Sigma_{d-1}} \int_0^{+\infty} \rho^{d-1} \omega(\sigma) \, \mathrm{d}\rho \mathrm{d}\sigma.$$

19

Since  $|\rho - r| \le \sqrt{d}/M$  when  $t = \rho \sigma \in B_{r+\sqrt{d}/M} \setminus B_{r-\sqrt{d}/M}$ , it follows from (45) and (46) that

$$\begin{split} & D_{d\lambda}^{2}(M^{d}) \\ & = M^{d} \int_{B_{r+\sqrt{d}/M} \setminus B_{r-\sqrt{d}/M}} \left( \chi_{B_{r}}(t) - M^{2d} \left| B_{r} \cap \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{2M}, \frac{1}{2M} \right]^{d} + t \right) \right|^{2} \right) \mathrm{d}t \\ & = \frac{\pi^{d/2} d^{3/2} r^{d-1}}{\Gamma(1+d/2)} M^{d-1} + O_{d,r}(M^{d-2}) \\ & - M^{d} \int_{\Sigma_{d-1}} \omega(\sigma) \int_{r-\sqrt{d}/M}^{r+\sqrt{d}/M} M^{2d} \left| \mathcal{B}_{r,\sigma} \cap \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{2M}, \frac{1}{2M} \right]^{d} + \rho \sigma \right) \right|^{2} r^{d-1} \mathrm{d}\rho \mathrm{d}\sigma, \end{split}$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_{r,\sigma}$  is the half space containing the origin 0 and defined analogously to the half plane  $\mathcal{B}_{\Theta}$  in Section 5. In order to study the last term above, we consider the following picture where the inner cube

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2M},\frac{1}{2M}\right]^d + t$$

is cut by the boundary of the half space  $\mathcal{B}_{r,\sigma}$ .



The inner cube with side length 1/M has been dilated around its centre t to obtain a larger cube with side length  $1/M_0$ , and the boundary of the half space  $\mathcal{B}_{r,\sigma}$  has been shifted accordingly. The ratio of the volume of the corresponding pieces of the two cubes with respect to the boundary of  $\mathcal{B}_{r,\sigma}$  and its translate is  $M^d/M_0^d$ . We now need to translate the image of the boundary of  $\mathcal{B}_{r,\sigma}$  back to its original position, and a simple calculation shows that

$$M^{d} \left| \mathcal{B}_{r,\sigma} \cap \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{2M}, \frac{1}{2M} \right]^{d} + \rho \sigma \right) \right|$$
$$= M_{0}^{d} \left| \mathcal{B}_{r,\sigma} \cap \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{2M_{0}}, \frac{1}{2M_{0}} \right]^{d} + \left( r + \frac{(\rho - r)M}{M_{0}} \right) \sigma \right) \right|.$$

Putting  $s=r+(\rho-r)M/M_0$ , we see that

$$D_{d\lambda}^2(M^d) = \frac{\pi^{d/2} d^{3/2} r^{d-1}}{\Gamma(1+d/2)} M^{d-1} + O_{d,r}(M^{d-2}) - C_{r,d}^{**} M^{d-1},$$

where

$$C_{r,d}^{**} = r^{d-1} M_0^{2d+1} \int_{\Sigma_{d-1}} \omega(\sigma) \int_{r-\sqrt{d}/M_0}^{r+\sqrt{d}/M_0} \left| \mathcal{B}_{r,\sigma} \cap \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{2M_0}, \frac{1}{2M_0} \right]^d + s\sigma \right) \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}\sigma.$$

Finally we observe that

$$C_{r,d}^* \!=\! \frac{\pi^{d/2} d^{3/2} r^{d-1}}{\Gamma(1\!+\!d/2)} \!-\! C_{r,d}^{**} \!\neq\! 0$$

by Lemma 6.2, and this completes the proof.  $\hfill\square$ 

We complete our discussion by making a comment about  $D^2_{\delta_0}(M^d)$ . When  $d\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , the oscillation of  $D^2_{\delta_0}(M^d)$  is a basic ingredient for the main results of this paper. One may ask whether  $D^2_{\delta_0}(M^d)$  shows an asymptotic behaviour in the case  $d\not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . As far as we know, this is an open (and to us an interesting) question.

#### References

- 1. BECK, J., Irregularities of distribution I, Acta Math. 159 (1987), 1-49.
- BECK, J., CHEN, W.W.L., *Irregularities of Distribution*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 89, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- BECK, J., CHEN, W.W.L., Note on irregularities of distribution II, Proc. London Math. Soc. 61 (1990), 251–272.

- 4. BELLHOUSE, D.R., Area estimation by point counting techniques, *Biometrics* **37** (1981), 303–312.
- 5. BRANDOLINI, L., COLZANI, L., TRAVAGLINI, G., Average decay of Fourier transforms and integer points in polyhedra, *Ark. Mat.* **35** (1997), 253–275.
- 6. CHAZELLE, B., *The Discrepancy Method*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- CHEN, W.W.L., Fourier techniques in the theory of irregularities of point distribution, in *Fourier Analysis and Convexity* (Brandolini, L., Colzani, L., Iosevich, A., Travaglini, G., eds.), pp. 59–82, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
- DRMOTA, M., TICHY, R.F., Sequences, Discrepancies and Applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1651, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1997.
- 9. HARDY, G.H., WRIGHT, E.M., An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1945.
- KOLLIG, T., KELLER, A., Efficient multidimensional sampling, Computer Graphics Forum 21 (2002), 557–563.
- KONYAGIN, S.V., SKRIGANOV, M.M., SOBOLEV, A.V., On a lattice point problem arising in the spectral analysis of periodic operators, *Mathematika* 50 (2003), 87– 98.
- MATOUŠEK, J., Geometric Discrepancy, Algorithms and Combinatorics 18, Springer, Berlin, Heldelberg, 1999.
- MONTGOMERY, H.L., Ten Lectures on the Interface between Analytic Number Theory and Harmonic Analysis, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 84, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1994.
- PARNOVSKI, L., SOBOLEV, A.V., On the Bethe-Sommerfeld conjecture for the polyharmonic operator, *Duke Math. J.* 107 (2001), 209–223.
- 15. STEIN, E., WEISS, G., Introduction to Fourier Analysis in Euclidean Spaces, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1971.
- TRAVAGLINI, G., Average decay of the Fourier transform, in *Fourier Analysis and Convexity* (Brandolini, L., Colzani, L., Iosevich, A., Travaglini, G., eds.), pp. 245–268, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.

W.W.L. Chen Department of Mathematics Macquarie University Sydney NSW 2109 Australia wchen@maths.mq.edu.au G. Travaglini Dipartimento di Statistica Università di Milano-Bicocca Edificio U7 Via Bicocca degli Arcimboldi 8 20126 Milano Italy giancarlo.travaglini@unimib.it

Received date in revised form date